# Some Characterized Projective $\delta$ -cover

## R. S. Wadbude

Abstract— In this paper we characterize some properties of projective  $\delta$ -cover and find some new results with δ-supplemented module M. Let M be a fixed R-module. A  $\delta$ -cover in M is an  $\delta$ -small epimorphism from M onto P. These concept introduce by Zhou [14]. A δ-cover is projective δ-cover( M-projective  $\delta$ -cover) in case M is projective.

Index Terms— Singular, non singular, simple, small,  $\delta$ -small, cover,  $\delta$ -cover, supplement,  $\delta$ -supplement.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R is an associative ring with unity and all modules are unitary R-modules. Let M be a fixed module, a sub module L of module M is denoted by  $L \le M$ . submodule L of M is called essential (large) in M, abbreviated  $K \leq_e M$ , if for every submodule N of M, L  $\cap$  N implies N = 0. A sub module N of a module M is called small in M, Denoted by N $\ll$ M, if for every sub module L of M, the equality N + L = M implies L = M. For each  $X \subset M$ , the right Ann(X) in R is  $r_R(X) = \{r \in R : xr = 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } x\}$ . The sub module Z(M) = $\{x \in M : r_R(x) \text{ is an essential in } R_R\} \{x\} \text{ is singleton, is called}$ singular submodule of M. The module M is called singular module if Z(M) = M. (M is non singular if Z(M) = 0). A right R-module is called simple if  $M \neq 0$  and M has only proper submodules. A sub module N of M is called minimal in M if N  $\neq 0$  and for every submodules A of M, A  $\subset$ N implies A = N. epimorphism  $f: M \to P$  is called small if  $\ker f \prec \prec M$ . A small epimorphism  $f: M \to P$  is called projective cover if M is projective with

 $\ker f \prec \prec M$  .[Zhou] introduce the concept of δ-small submodule as generalization of small submodules. Let  $K \leq M$ , K is called  $\delta$ -small if whenever M = N + K and M/N is a singular, we have M = N.( denoted by  $\prec \prec_{\delta}$ ). The sum of all  $\delta\text{-small}$  submodules is denoted by  $\delta(M).$  A  $\delta\text{-cover}$  in M is an δ-small epimorphism from M onto P. A δ-cover is projective  $\delta$ -cover( M-projective  $\delta$ -cover) in case M is projective.

**Definition:** Let M be a fixed R- module. An R-module U is called (small) M-projective module, if for every (small) epimorphism  $f: M \to P$  and

homomorphism  $g: U \to P$ , there exists a homomorphism  $v: U \to M$  such that  $f \circ v = g$ , i.e. following diagram is commute.

R. S. Wadbude, Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Fule Arts, Commerce and Sitaramji, Chaudhari Science Mahavidyalaya, Warud.SGB Amaravati University Amravati [M.S.]

$$U \downarrow g \qquad \qquad \text{Example}$$

$$M \xrightarrow{f} P \to 0 \qquad (Kerf \prec \prec M)$$

: Every proper sub module of the Z-modules  $Z_p^{\infty}$  is small in

# Remarks:

i) Every M-projective module is a small M-projective cover.

ii) Every self projective module M is self small projective module and converse is true for M is hollow.

Lemma: [Zhou] Let N be a sub module of M. The following are equivalent:

i)  $N \ll_{\delta} M$ 

ii) If M = X + N, then  $M = X \oplus Y$  for a projective semisimple sub module Y with  $Y \subseteq N$ .

**Proof:** [14]

**Lemma**: If each  $f_i: N_i \to M_i$  are M-projective  $\delta$ -covers for i = 1,2,3,...n, then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} f_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i \to M_i \text{ is M-projective } \delta\text{- cover.}$ 

**Proof**: [12]

Lemma: If N is a direct summand of module M and

 $A \ll_{\delta} M$ , then  $A \cap N \ll_{\delta} N$ .

Lemma: Let K be a sub module of a M-projective module U. If U/K has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover, then it has a M-projective

δ-cover of the form 
$$f: \frac{U}{L} \to \frac{U}{K}$$
 with  $\ker f = \frac{K}{L}$ 

36

**Proof**: Let K be a sub module of a M-projective module U.

Let 
$$f: M \to \frac{U}{K}$$
 be a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover of  $\frac{U}{K}$ , and

 $\pi: U \to \frac{U}{K}$  is a canonical epimorphism , U is M-projective

there homomorphism  $v: U \to M$  s.t.  $f \circ v = \pi$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
L \\
\downarrow \\
K \\
\downarrow \\
U \\
\downarrow \\
M \xrightarrow{f} \frac{U}{K} \to 0
\end{array}$$

Then  $M = \ker g \oplus \operatorname{Im} v$  . By lemma  $M = N \oplus \text{Im} v$  for semi simple sub module N, with N  $\subseteq$ Kerf since  $\ker(f \mid_{\operatorname{Im} v}) \prec \prec_{\delta} \operatorname{Im} v$ . So  $f \mid_{\operatorname{Im} v}$  is also M-projective  $\delta$ -cover of  $\frac{U}{K}$ . But  $\frac{U}{\ker v}\cong \operatorname{Im} v$  by isomorphism theorem. Since  $f\circ v=\pi$  and  $\ker v\subseteq K$ . If we consider the isomorphism  $v'\colon \frac{U}{\ker v}\to \operatorname{Im} v$  defined by  $v'(\ker v+u)=u \ \forall u\in U, \operatorname{Im} v\leq^{\oplus} U$ . Then we obtain  $\ker(f_{\operatorname{Im} v},v')\prec\prec_{\delta} \frac{U}{\ker v}$ .

**Lemma:** A pair (M, f) is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover of finitely generated module U, The there exists a

finitely generated direct summand M' of M such that  $fI_{M'}$  is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover of U.

**Theorem:** An R module M has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover, then for every epimorphism  $f:M\to P$ , the following are equivalent:

- i)  $f: M \to P$  is a M-projective cover.
- ii) M is projective, for every epimorphism  $f'\colon M'\to P$ , with  $M'\leq^\oplus M$ , there exists a necessarily split epimorphism  $h\colon M'\to M$  such that  $f\circ h=f'$ .
- iii) For every small epimorphism  $g:M\to N$  , there exists an epimorphism  $h:P\to M$  such that  $f\circ h=g$

Corollary: Let  $f: M \to P$ 

and  $f': M' \to P$ ,  $M' \leq^{\oplus} M$ , be a M-projective cover.

Then there is an isomorphism  $h: M \to M'$  such that  $f' \circ h = f$ . In fact if  $h: M \to M'$  is a homomorphism with  $f' \circ h = f$ , then h is an isomorphism.

**Proposition:** Let  $f:M\to P$  be a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover. If U is M-projective and  $g:U\to P$  is an homomorphism, then there exists decomposition  $M=A\oplus B$  and  $U=X\oplus Y$  such that

- i)  $A \cong X$
- ii)  $fI_A: A \rightarrow P$  is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.
- iii)  $hI_X: X \to P$  is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.
- iv) B is a Projective semi simple with  $B \subseteq \ker f$  and  $Y \subset \ker h$

**Proof**: Since U is M-projective,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & U \\
 & \downarrow g \\
 & M & \xrightarrow{f} P \to 0
\end{array}$$

Then there exists  $h:U\to M$  such that  $f\circ h=g$ . Thus we have  $M=\operatorname{Im} h+\ker f$  and  $\ker f\prec \prec_\delta M$ , we have  $M=\operatorname{Im} h+B$  for a semi simple module B with  $B\subseteq \ker f$ , by lemma 9.  $f\mathrm{I}_A:A\to P$  is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.

Since direct summand of projective module is projective, so A is projective and homomorphism  $h:U\to A$  splits, then there exists  $t:A\to U$  such that  $h\circ t=I_A$ . Thus

 $U = X \oplus Y = \operatorname{Im} t + \ker h \qquad \text{this} \qquad \text{implies} \\ A \cong t(A) = X. \operatorname{Since} \ \ker(h \operatorname{I}_A) \prec \prec_\delta A \ (M = A \oplus B) \,, \\ \text{we} \qquad \text{have} \qquad \ker(h \operatorname{I}_X) = \ker(h \operatorname{I}_A) \prec \prec_\delta t(A) = X \\ g(X) = (f \circ h)(X + Y) = (f \circ h)(U) = P \\ \text{Thus } h \operatorname{I}_X : X \to P \text{ is a M-projective } \delta\text{-cover.} / /$ 

**Lemma:** Let U be a M-projective module and  $N \leq^{\oplus} M$ , then the following are equivalent;

- i)  $\frac{M}{N}$  has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.
- ii)  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  for some  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  ,with  $M_1\subset N \ \ and \ \ M_2\cap N\prec\prec_\delta M \ .$

**Proof:** i)=> ii) Assume that  $\frac{M}{N}$  has a M-projective

δ-cover. Let  $g: U \to \frac{M}{N}$  be a M-projective δ- cover

and  $\pi:M\to \frac{M}{N}$  is canonical epimorphism, then there

exists an homomorphism  $h: U \to M$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
h & \downarrow g \\
M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \frac{M}{N} & \to 0
\end{array}$$

is commute. Therefore  $M=\operatorname{Im} h+\ker \pi=\operatorname{Im} h+N$  . By lemma [Zhou 3.1] there exists a decomposition  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  such that  $\pi \mathbf{1}_X:M_2\to M$  is a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover and  $M_1\subseteq\ker \pi=N$ . Thus  $M_2\cap N=\ker(\pi \mathbf{1}_X)\prec \prec_\delta X$  . Since  $M_2\subseteq^\oplus M$  then  $M_2\cap N\prec \prec_\delta M$ .

ii)=> i) it is clear.

**Lemma:** If  $f: U \to M$  and  $g: M \to N$  are  $\delta$ -covers, then  $g \circ f$  is a  $\delta$ -cover.

Proof: [12]

**Lemma**: Let M, N, P be R-modules , for some homomorphisms  $f: M \to P$  ,  $g: M \to N$  and

 $h: N \to P$  such that  $h \circ g = f$  then,

i) F is a small epimorphism if and only if

 $N = \ker h + \operatorname{Im} g$ .

ii) A pair (M, f) is a projective  $\delta$ -cover if and only if g(M) is a  $\delta$ -supplement of kerh in N and ker  $g \prec \prec_{\delta} M$ 

**Proof**: i) it is clear by lemma R

(ii) => Suppose a pair ( M, f) is a  $\delta$ -cover, by (i) we have  $N = \ker h + \operatorname{Im} g$  i.e. f is small epimorphism, we get  $g(\ker f) = \ker h + \operatorname{Im} g$  and  $\ker f \prec \prec_{\delta} M$ . By lemma [1,1 K. Al-Thakman]  $g(\ker f) \prec \prec_{\delta} \operatorname{Im} g$ , hence Img is  $\delta$ -supplement of kerh in N.  $\Leftarrow$  Assume that the g(M) is a δ-supplement of  $\ker h$  in N, then  $N = \operatorname{Im} g + \ker h$  and  $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \ker h \prec \prec_{\delta} \operatorname{Im} g$ . Since f is epimorphism, consider  $\ker f + S = M$  and  $\frac{M}{S}$  is singular. So  $g(\ker f) + g(S) = g(M)$  but  $g(\ker f) = \ker h \cap \operatorname{Im} g$ , Hence  $g(M) = g(\ker f) \cap \operatorname{Im} g + g(S)$  since

Hence  $g(M) = g(\ker f) \cap \operatorname{Im} g + g(S)$ , since  $\frac{g(M)}{g(S)}$  is singular, being a homomorphic image of singular

module and  $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \ker h \prec \prec_{\delta} \operatorname{Im} g$ . We have g(M) = g(S) and so  $M = S + \ker g$ , by assumption  $\ker g \prec \prec_{\delta} M$  and  $\frac{M}{S}$  is singular,

so M = S. Hence  $\ker f \prec \prec_{\delta} M$ .//

**Theorem:** If  $M = M_1 + M_2$  then the following are equivalent:

- i)  $M_2$  is a small-  $M_1$ -projective.
- ii) For any sub module N of M such that  $M_1$  is a  $\delta$ -supplement of N in M. There exists a sub  $N_1$  of N such that  $M=M_1\oplus N_1$ .

**Proof**: [14].

**Proposition**: If U is a sub module of R-module M, then following are equivalent:

- i)  $\frac{M}{M_1}$  has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.
- ii) If  $M_2 \leq M$  and  $M=M_1+M_2$ ,  $M_2$  has a  $\delta$ -supplemented  $M'_1 \subseteq M_2$  such that  $M'_1$  has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.
- iii)  $M_2$  has a  $\delta$  supplemented  $M'_1$ , which has a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.

**Proof**: (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that  $\frac{M}{M_1}$  has a M-projective

 $\delta$ -cover. Therefore  $f:U \to \frac{M}{M_1}$  be a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.

Since  $M = M_1 + M_2$ ,  $g: M_2 \to \frac{M}{M_1}$  is an epimorphism

.Given that U is M- projective module, then there exists an homomorphism  $h:U\to M_2$  such that  $f=g\circ h$ . By lemma Q]  $M=M_1+\mathrm{Im}\,h=M_1+h(U)$  ,where  $h(U)\prec\prec_\delta M_2$  . Since  $\ker f\prec\prec_\delta U$  , we have  $M_1\cap h(U)=h(\ker f)\prec\prec_\delta h(U)$  and h(U) is  $\delta$ -supplement of  $M_1$  in M. Since  $\ker h\leq \ker f\prec\prec_\delta U,h:U\to h(U)$  is M-projective  $\delta$ -cover.

(ii)⇒(iii) it is clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $f:U\to M_1$ ' be a M-projective  $\delta$ -cover. Since  $M_1$ ' is a  $\delta$ -supplement of M, the natural epimorphism

$$g: M_1' \to \frac{M_1'}{M_1 \cap M_1'} \cong \frac{M_1 + M_1'}{M_1} = \frac{M}{M_1}$$
 is

M-projective  $\delta$ -cover. Hence  $f: U \to \frac{M}{M_1}$  is a

M-projective  $\delta$ -cover, by lemma [A], where  $h: \frac{M_1'}{M_1 \cap M_1'} \to \frac{M_1 + M_1'}{M_1}$  is an isomorphism. //

### REFERENCES

- Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R. Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1992.
- [2]. Azumaya G. 'some characterization of regular modules' Publications Mathematiques, Vol 34 (1990) 241-248.
- [3]. Bharadwaj, P.C. Small pseudo projective modules, Int. J. alg. 3 (6), 2009, 259-264.
- [4]. Keskin D., 'On lifting modules' Comm.Alg. 28 (7) 2000, 3427-3440.
- [5]. Keskin D and kuratomi Y. 'on Generalized Epi-Projective modules' Math J. Okayama Uni. 52 (2010) 111-122.
- [6]. Khaled Al-Takhman, Cofinitely δ-supplemented and Cofinitely δ-Seme perfect module, Int. Journal of Algebra, Vol 1,(12) 2007, 601-613.
- [7]. Kosan. M.T. δ-Lifting and δ-supplemented modules, Alg. Coll.14 (1) 2007, 53-60.
- [8]. Nicholsion. Semiregular modules and rings, Canad, Math. J. 28. (5) 1976 1105-1120.
- [9]. Sinha A.K, Small M-pseudo projective modules, Amr. j. Math 1 (1), 2012 09-13.
- [10]. Talebi, Y. and Khalili Gorji I. On Pseudo Projective and Pseudo Small-Projective Modules. Int. Journal of Alg. 2. (10), 2008, 463-468.
- [11]. Truong Cong. QUYNA On Pseudo Semi-projective modules Turk J. Math 37. 2013.27- 36.
- [12]. Wang Y. and Wu D. 'Rings characterized by Projectivity Classes' Int. Journal of algebra, Vol 4 2010 (19) 945-952.
- [13].Xue, W. Characterization of Semi-perfect and perfect Rings. Publications Mathematiques, Vol 40 (1996) 115-125.
- [14]. Zhou, Y. Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect and semiregular rings, Algebra Colloquium 7 (3), 2000, 305-318.